

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,137	03/03/2005	Pierrick Girard	3952-75	2456
23117 7: NIXON & VAN	590 04/09/2007 DERHYE PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GL	EBE ROAD, 11TH FL	PALO, FRANCIS T		
ARLINGTON, V	/A 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644 •	
		<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/524,137	GIRARD ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Francis T. Palo	3644	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHOWHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- teply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	Irawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Strection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) se No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/524,137

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election/Remarks

The examiner respectfully acknowledges the origin of the instant application and resultant amendment of the claims so as to better conform with accepted U.S. patent prosecution practice, and by said amendment it is now clear to the examiner that the applicants invention is directed to a product; however, the extensive claim amendments and submission of new claims 21-29 in response to the election requirement necessitates the making of a new restriction requirement, as the claim amendments and new claims present distinct species and sub-species and Markush groups that would in this examiner's opinion, require additional searches that would place a serious burden on the examiner if all the species and sub-species were to be examined at once, and finally, the examiner regrets any inconvenience this may cause the applicants as we work towards the ultimate goal of a quality grant.

Specifically, it is clear that the invention is only directed to a mulching product (support) as broadly recited in the independent claim and that the claims are directed to the composition of the support, the composition of the support coating and the composition and placement of the grid. The examiner contends that the claims reciting various association or positioning of the grid with respect to the support, as well as the numerous choices of alternate coating ingredients and numerous choices for the support materials would place a burden on the examiner to examiner the many embodiments presented in the claims.

Resultantly, the examiner is respectfully vacating the previous election in consideration of the applicants response to that restriction and presents a revised restriction requirement for their consideration as follows.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one **species** of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The examiner submits that a number of the claims (4, 10, 15, 16, 27 and 28) contain Markush groups, and for the sake of compressing prosecution if an election of species was not made and the claim was rejected over one of the alternatives, and the applicants submit an amendment canceling that one alternative that was rejected, the next action can be made final with a rejection over any of the other alternatives.

The species are as follows:

Species-SF (support fibers): either claims (2, 3 and 21) or claim-18 or claim-19 or claim-20.

And,

Species-S (stabilizer): either claim-15 or claim-27.

And,

Art Unit: 3644

Species-P (preservative): either claim-15 or claim-27.

And,

Species-GP (grid): either claims (7-9, 22, 23 and 29) or claim-24 or claim-25

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and to elect one of the materials recited in the Markush group claims as mentioned above.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species (that is, recapture of the non-elected claims) which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/524,137

Art Unit: 3644

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above as previously outlined above.

The following claim(s) are generic: at least claim-1 is considered to be generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reasons as discussed above; specifically the species would impart different effects to the fibrous support.

A telephone call was not made to applicants to request an oral election due to the complexity of the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francis T. Palo whose telephone number is 571-272-6907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu.,Th.-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Francis T. Palo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644

Francis T. Palo